

# ANGULAR MOMENTUM AND SIMPLE HARMONIC OSCILLATOR PROBLEMS IN 2D NON-COMMUTATIVE MOYAL PLANE

Kaushlendra Kumar

Indian Institute of Science Education & Research, Kolkata

November 4, 2016

# Why non-commutative spaces?

- Localization of an event in space-time with arbitrary accuracy is operationally impossible (Doplicher et. al.)

# Why non-commutative spaces?

- Localization of an event in space-time with arbitrary accuracy is operationally impossible (Doplicher et. al.)
- Quantization of space time is a way out and can also help gain insight into the Plank scale nature of spacetime.

# Why non-commutative spaces?

- Localization of an event in space-time with arbitrary accuracy is operationally impossible (Doplicher et. al.)
- Quantization of space time is a way out and can also help gain insight into the Plank scale nature of spacetime.
- Low energy regime of String theory also gives rise to such non-commuting spaces.

# Why non-commutative spaces?

- Localization of an event in space-time with arbitrary accuracy is operationally impossible (Doplicher et. al.)
- Quantization of space time is a way out and can also help gain insight into the Plank scale nature of spacetime.
- Low energy regime of String theory also gives rise to such non-commuting spaces.
- has relevance in certain condensed matter phenomena like the quantum Hall effect and topological insulators.

# Simplest “toy” model: Moyal plane

$$[\hat{x}_\alpha, \hat{x}_\beta] = i\theta_{\alpha\beta} = i\theta\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2\}) \quad (1)$$

which augments the usual Heisenberg algebra:

$$[\hat{x}_\alpha, \hat{p}_\beta] = i\hbar\delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad ; \quad [\hat{p}_\alpha, \hat{p}_\beta] = 0 \quad (2)$$

This algebra renders the configuration space being “fuzzy” due to the uncertainty relation:

$$(\Delta x_1)(\Delta x_2) \geq \frac{\theta}{2} \quad (3)$$

One can at best talk about the so called “coherent” states  $|z\rangle$  which saturates the above inequality.

## Simplest “toy” model: Moyal plane

One can naturally associate a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_c$  to the coordinate algebra (1), which is isomorphic to the Hilbert space of 1D quantum mechanical SHO.

$$\mathcal{H}_c = \text{span}\{|n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}(\hat{b}^\dagger)^n |0\rangle\}_{n=0}^\infty \quad (4)$$

where the annihilation operator  $\hat{b}$  is given by:

$$\hat{b} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\theta}}(\hat{x}_1 + i\hat{x}_2) \quad (5)$$

satisfying  $[\hat{b}, \hat{b}^\dagger] = 1$  and  $\hat{b}|0\rangle = 0$ . This Hilbert space replaces the 2D configuration space of commutative quantum mechanics.

# Simplest “toy” model: Moyal plane

The quantum Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_q$  then comes naturally as the set of bounded trace class operators on  $\mathcal{H}_c$  as:

$$\mathcal{H}_q = \{|\psi\rangle, \text{Tr}_c(\psi^\dagger\psi) < \infty\}. \quad (6)$$

Also the associated inner product (and thus norm) can easily be defined as:

$$(\phi|\psi) = \text{Tr}_c(\phi^\dagger\psi) \quad (7)$$

Now we look for the unitary representation of  $\hat{x}_\alpha$  (which unlike in the usual QM are not c-numbers anymore) and their conjugate momenta. Calling them  $\hat{X}_i$  and  $\hat{P}_i$  one can verify that following representation does the job:

$$\hat{X}_i\psi = \hat{x}_i\psi, \quad \hat{P}_i\psi = \frac{1}{\theta}\epsilon_{ij}[\hat{x}_j, \psi] = \frac{1}{\theta}\epsilon_{ij} \left( \hat{X}_j^L - \hat{X}_j^R \right) \psi, \quad (8)$$



# Simplest “toy” model: Moyal plane

Here the left/right action (for all  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_q$ ) is defined as

$$\hat{X}_i^L \psi \equiv \hat{X}_i \psi = \hat{x}_i \psi \quad ; \quad \hat{X}_i^R \psi = \psi \hat{x}_i \quad (9)$$

Such that these operators  $\hat{X}_i$  and  $\hat{P}_i$  satisfies the non-commutative Heisengberg algebra

$$[\hat{X}_i^L, \hat{X}_j^L] \equiv [\hat{X}_i, \hat{X}_j] = i\theta\epsilon_{ij} \quad ; \quad [\hat{X}_i, \hat{P}_j] = i\delta_{ij} \quad ; \quad [\hat{P}_i, \hat{P}_j] = 0 \quad (10)$$

It's also easy to see that

$$[\hat{X}_i^R, \hat{X}_j^R] = -i\theta\epsilon_{ij} \quad ; \quad [\hat{X}_i^L, \hat{X}_j^R] = 0 \quad (11)$$

# Angular Momentum: Schwinger's way

Using creation ( $\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger$ ) and annihilation ( $\hat{a}_\alpha$ ) operators of a pair of independent harmonic oscillators satisfying:

$$[\hat{a}_\alpha, \hat{a}_\beta] = 0 = [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger, \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger] \text{ and } [\hat{a}_\alpha, \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger] = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta = 1, 2 \quad (12)$$

One can obtain general angular momentum operators using Pauli matrices as

$$\hat{J} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \{ \vec{\sigma} \}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{a}_\beta ; [\hat{J}_i, \hat{J}_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{J}_k, \quad (13)$$

In commutative case we can study decoupled 1D SHO as  $|m\rangle\langle n| \in \mathcal{H}_c \otimes \mathcal{H}_c$  with creation operators  $\hat{a}_1^\dagger, \hat{a}_2^\dagger$  as  $(\hat{a}^\dagger \otimes 1)$  and  $(1 \otimes \hat{a}^\dagger)$  respectively.

# Angular Momentum: Commutative case

With above Schwinger's prescription we can easily obtain following SU(2) generators

$$\hat{J}_1 = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2), \quad \hat{J}_2 = \frac{i}{2} (\hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1 - \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2), \quad \hat{J}_3 = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 - \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2)$$

in which  $\hat{J}_3$  and the Casimir  $\hat{J}^2$  operators satisfy following eigen-value equations:

$$\hat{J}_3(|m\rangle \otimes |n\rangle) = j_3(|m\rangle \otimes |n\rangle), \quad \text{where } j_3 = \frac{1}{2}(m - n)$$

$$\hat{J}^2(|m\rangle \otimes |n\rangle) = j(j + 1)(|m\rangle \otimes |n\rangle), \quad \text{where } j = \frac{1}{2}(m + n)$$

# Angular Momentum: Commutative case

Now considering following Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\mu\omega^2 (\hat{X}_1^2 + \hat{X}_2^2) + \frac{1}{2\mu} (\hat{P}_1^2 + \hat{P}_2^2) = \frac{\omega}{2} \left( \frac{\hat{P}^2}{\mu\omega} + \mu\omega\hat{X}^2 \right)$$

which under following canonical transformation

$$\hat{P}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{p}_\alpha = \frac{\hat{P}_\alpha}{\sqrt{\mu\omega}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{X}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{x}_\alpha = \sqrt{\mu\omega}\hat{X}_\alpha \quad (14)$$

becomes  $\hat{H} = \frac{\omega}{2} (\hat{x}_1^2 + \hat{x}_2^2 + \hat{p}_1^2 + \hat{p}_2^2)$  which seems to enjoy full  $SO(4)$  symmetry in 4D phase-space. However it's only the subgroup  $SU(2) \subset SO(4) = SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$  is the relevant symmetry group.

# Angular Momentum: Commutative case

Now using the previous form of generators  $\hat{J}_i$  in terms of ladder operators and following relations

$$\hat{x}_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{a}_\alpha + \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger); \quad \text{and,} \quad \hat{p}_\alpha = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger - \hat{a}_\alpha); \quad (15)$$

one can easily obtain following commutation relations

$$[\hat{J}_3, \hat{x}_\alpha] = \frac{i}{2} (\delta_{\alpha 2} \hat{p}_2 - \delta_{\alpha 1} \hat{p}_1) \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{J}_3, \hat{p}_\alpha] = \frac{i}{2} (\delta_{\alpha 1} \hat{x}_1 - \delta_{\alpha 2} \hat{x}_2)$$

$$[\hat{J}_1, \hat{x}_\alpha] = \frac{-i}{2} (\delta_{\alpha 1} \hat{p}_2 + \delta_{\alpha 2} \hat{p}_1) \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{J}_1, \hat{p}_\alpha] = \frac{i}{2} (\delta_{\alpha 1} \hat{x}_2 + \delta_{\alpha 2} \hat{x}_1)$$

$$[\hat{J}_2, \hat{x}_\alpha] = \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \hat{x}_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{J}_2, \hat{p}_\alpha] = \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \hat{p}_\beta; \quad \text{with } \alpha, \beta = 1, 2.$$

# Angular Momentum: Commutative case

Above commutation relation clearly shows that  $\hat{J}$ 's generate simultaneous  $SO(2)$  rotations in two orthogonal planes like  $\hat{J}_3$  generates simultaneous  $SO(2)$  rotation in  $x_1p_1$  and  $x_2p_2$  planes and so on.

Now any general state in  $\mathcal{H}_q$  can be written as

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{m,n} C_{mn} |m\rangle \langle n| \in \mathcal{H}_q \quad (16)$$

Thus  $\mathcal{H}_q$  can be identified with  $\mathcal{H}_c \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_c$ , where  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_c$  is the dual of  $\mathcal{H}_c$ . Since, there is a one-to-one map between the basis  $|m\rangle \otimes |n\rangle$  and  $|m\rangle \otimes \langle n|$ , the Hilbert spaces,  $\text{span}\{|m\rangle \otimes |n\rangle\} = \mathcal{H}_c \otimes \mathcal{H}_c$  and  $\mathcal{H}_q$  are isomorphic.

# Angular Momentum: non-commutative case

Now replacing  $\hat{a}_1$  with  $\hat{B}_L$  and  $\hat{a}_2$  (and not  $\hat{a}_2$ ) with  $\hat{B}_R$  in the commutative case where operators  $\hat{B}_L = \hat{b} \otimes 1$  and  $\hat{B}_R = 1 \otimes \hat{b}_R$  belong to  $\mathcal{H}_q$  we obtain

$$\hat{J}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{B}_R \hat{B}_L + \hat{B}_L^\dagger \hat{B}_R^\dagger \right), \quad \hat{J}_2 = \frac{i}{2} \left( \hat{B}_R \hat{B}_L - \hat{B}_L^\dagger \hat{B}_R^\dagger \right) \quad \text{and}$$
$$\hat{J}_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{B}_L^\dagger \hat{B}_L - \hat{B}_R \hat{B}_R^\dagger \right) \quad (17)$$

It's an easy job to check that the  $\hat{J}_3$  and Casimir operator  $\hat{J}^2$  satisfies the same eigen value equation as in the commutative case. We can use the definition  $\hat{B}_{L/R} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\theta}} (\hat{X}_1^{L/R} + i\hat{X}_2^{L/R})$  and its Hermitian conjugate, and the adjoint action of momenta we get

# Angular Momentum: non-commutative case

$$\hat{X}_1^L = \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}}(\hat{B}_L + \hat{B}_L^\dagger), \quad \hat{X}_2^L = i\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}}(\hat{B}_L^\dagger - \hat{B}_L)$$

$$\hat{P}_1 = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\theta}}(\hat{B}_L^\dagger - \hat{B}_L - \hat{B}_R^\dagger + \hat{B}_R)$$

$$\hat{P}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\theta}}(\hat{B}_R^\dagger + \hat{B}_R - \hat{B}_L^\dagger - \hat{B}_L)$$

Further, the commuting coordinates introduced as

$$\hat{X}_i^c = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{X}_i^L + \hat{X}_i^R) = \hat{X}_i + \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon_{ij}\hat{P}_j$$

satisfying  $[\hat{X}_i^c, \hat{X}_j^c] = 0$ , can be expressed like-wise as:





# Angular Momentum: non-commutative case

$$\hat{X}_1^c = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}} \left( \hat{B}_R + \hat{B}_L + \hat{B}_L^\dagger + \hat{B}_R^\dagger \right)$$

$$\hat{X}_2^c = \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}} \left( \hat{B}_L^\dagger - \hat{B}_L + \hat{B}_R^\dagger - \hat{B}_R \right)$$

We now perform canonical transformation similar to the commutative case

$$\hat{X}_i^c \rightarrow \hat{x}_i^c = \frac{\hat{X}_i^c}{\sqrt{\theta}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{P}_i \rightarrow \hat{p}_i = \sqrt{\theta} \hat{P}_i \quad \forall i = 1, 2$$

We then calculate following commutation relation

$$[\hat{x}_i^c, \hat{J}_1] = \frac{i}{2} (\delta_{i1} \hat{p}'_1 - \delta_{i2} \hat{p}'_2) \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{p}'_i, \hat{J}_1] = \frac{i}{2} (\delta_{i2} \hat{x}_2 - \delta_{i1} \hat{x}_1)$$



# Angular Momentum: non-commutative case

$$[\hat{x}_i^c, \hat{J}_2] = -\frac{i}{2}(\delta_{i1}\hat{p}'_2 + \delta_{i2}\hat{p}'_1) \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{p}'_i, \hat{J}_2] = \frac{i}{2}(\delta_{i1}\hat{x}_2 + \delta_{i2}\hat{x}_1)$$

$$[\hat{x}_i^c, \hat{J}_3] = \frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{ij}\hat{x}_j \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{p}'_i, \hat{J}_3] = \frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{ij}\hat{p}'_j$$

where  $\hat{p}'_i = \frac{\hat{p}_i}{2}$ . This shows that the Angular momentum operators generates simultaneous SO(2) rotations in orthogonal planes (not the phase-space!) here as well but the roles of these operators are different then their commutative counterpart

$$\hat{J}_1^{NC} \leftrightarrow \hat{J}_3^C, \quad \hat{J}_2^{NC} \leftrightarrow \hat{J}_1^C \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{J}_3^{NC} \leftrightarrow \hat{J}_2^C$$

## SHO: commutative case

Here the Hamiltonian is given by

$$\hat{H}_I = \frac{1}{2}\mu\omega^2 \hat{X}^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu} \hat{P}^2 \quad (18)$$

which with the substitution in terms of ladder operators using

$$\hat{X}^2 = \frac{1}{2\mu\omega} \left( \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger + \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_2 + \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \right) + \frac{1}{\mu\omega} \left( \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + 1 \right)$$

$$\hat{P}^2 = -\frac{\mu\omega}{2} \left( \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger + \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_2 + \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \right) + \mu\omega \left( \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + 1 \right),$$

so that we get SU(2) invariant Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_I = \omega \left( a_1^\dagger a_1 + a_2^\dagger a_2 + 1 \right),$$

whose spectrum is just  $\hat{H}_I |m\rangle \otimes |n\rangle = \omega(2j + 1) |m\rangle \otimes |n\rangle$

where  $j = \frac{1}{2}(m + n)$  as expected.

## SHO: non-commutative case

Let's first study the unphysical SHO (involving  $\hat{X}_i^c$ ). The Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H}_2 = \frac{1}{2\mu} \hat{\vec{P}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu \omega^2 (\hat{X}^c)^2 \quad (19)$$

We might naively expect this to be similar to commutative case, which is not completely true. Let's construct the ladder operators here

$$\hat{C}_i^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\mu\omega}} \left( \mu\omega \hat{X}_i^c - i\hat{P}_i \right), \quad \hat{C}_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\mu\omega}} \left( \mu\omega \hat{X}_i^c + i\hat{P}_i \right) \quad \forall i = 1, 2 \quad (20)$$

The corresponding ground state  $|\Omega\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_q$  is then defined as  $\hat{C}_i|\Omega\rangle = 0$ . Note that there is another "Vacuum" state  $|0\rangle\langle 0|$  satisfying  $\hat{B}_L|0\rangle\langle 0| = 0 = \hat{B}_R^\dagger|0\rangle\langle 0|$ .

# SHO: non-commutative case

These two matches only under a special choice of parameters  $\mu$  and  $\omega$ , which we call as a critical point:

$$\mu_0 = \frac{\omega_0}{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}} \quad (21)$$

At this critical point our Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_2$  becomes

$$\hat{H}_2 = \omega_0 \left( \frac{1}{\theta} (\hat{X}^c)^2 + \frac{\theta}{4} \hat{P}^2 \right) = \omega_0 \left( \hat{B}_L^\dagger \hat{B}_L + \hat{B}_R \hat{B}_R^\dagger + 1 \right),$$

which reproduces the spectrum of commutative case i.e.  
 $E = \omega_0(2j + 1)$ .

## SHO: non-commutative case

Now for arbitrary values of parameters  $\mu$  and  $\omega$  Hamiltonian takes the form

$$\hat{H}_2 = \alpha \left( \hat{B}_L^\dagger \hat{B}_L + \hat{B}_R^\dagger \hat{B}_R \right) + \beta \left( \hat{B}_L^\dagger \hat{B}_R + \hat{B}_R^\dagger \hat{B}_L \right) \quad (22)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{\mu\omega^2\theta}{4} + \frac{1}{\mu\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{\mu\omega^2\theta}{4} - \frac{1}{\mu\theta} \quad (23)$$

To diagonalize the Hamiltonian we perform following Bogoliubov transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{B}'_L \\ \hat{B}'_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \phi & \sinh \phi \\ \sinh \phi & \cosh \phi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{B}_L \\ \hat{B}_R \end{pmatrix} \quad (24)$$

This ensures  $[\hat{B}'_L, \hat{B}'_L^\dagger] = -[\hat{B}'_R, \hat{B}'_R^\dagger] = 1$ . So that we get

## SHO: non-commutative case

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_2 = & \left[ \alpha (\cosh^2 \phi + \sinh^2 \phi) - 2\beta \sinh \phi \cosh \phi \right] \left( \hat{B}'_L \hat{B}'_L + \hat{B}'_R \hat{B}'_R \right) \\ & + \left[ \beta (\cosh^2 \phi + \sinh^2 \phi) - 2\alpha \sinh \phi \cosh \phi \right] \left( \hat{B}'_L \hat{B}'_R + \hat{B}'_R \hat{B}'_L \right) \\ & + 2\alpha \sinh^2 \phi - 2\beta \sinh \phi \cosh \phi + \alpha\end{aligned}$$

Setting the coefficient of the off-diagonal term to zero we get

$$\coth \phi + \tanh \phi = \frac{2\alpha}{\beta} \quad (25)$$

which gives two roots with the smaller one being

$\tanh \phi = \frac{1}{\beta}(\alpha - \omega)$ . Also the Hamiltonian becomes (with this solution)

$$\hat{H}_2 = \omega \left( B'_L B'_L + B'_R B'_R + 1 \right) \quad (26)$$



## SHO: non-commutative case

This again reproduces the spectrum of commutative case with arbitrary parameter  $\omega$  (rather than  $\omega_0$ ). Also note that the Bogoliubov transformation used above is actually equivalent to following canonical transformation

$$\hat{X}'^c = e^\phi \hat{X}_i^c ; \quad \hat{P}'_i = e^{-\phi} \hat{P}_i \quad (27)$$

where  $e^\phi = \sqrt{\frac{\mu\omega\theta}{2}}$  for the particular solution we have chosen. This transformation is in turn equivalent to following unitary transformation

$$\hat{X}'^c = e^\phi \hat{X}_i^c = e^{-\frac{i}{2}\phi\hat{D}} \hat{X}_i^c e^{\frac{i}{2}\phi\hat{D}}, \quad (28)$$

where  $\hat{D} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{X}_i^c \hat{P}_i + \hat{P}_i \hat{X}_i^c) = i(\hat{B}_L^\dagger \hat{B}_R - \hat{B}_L \hat{B}_R^\dagger)$  is the dilatation operator.



# SHO: non-commutative case

Now as for the physical Hamiltonian for SHO in non-commutative case we have

$$\hat{H}_3 = \frac{1}{2\mu} \hat{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu \omega^2 (\hat{X})^2 \quad (29)$$

Re-writing  $\hat{H}_3$  in terms of  $\hat{X}_i^c$ , by eliminating  $\hat{X}_i$  we obtain

$$\hat{H}_3 = \frac{1}{2\mu} \hat{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu \omega^2 \left[ (\hat{X}^c)^2 + \frac{\theta^2}{4} \hat{P}^2 + 2\theta \hat{J}_3 \right]. \quad (30)$$

which after re-organizing can be written as

$$\hat{H}_3 = \frac{1}{2\mu'} \hat{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu' \omega'^2 (\hat{X}^c)^2 + \mu \theta \omega^2 \hat{J}_3, \quad (31)$$

## SHO: non-commutative case

where the renormalized parameters  $\mu'$  and  $\omega'$ , satisfying  $\mu\omega^2 = \mu'\omega'^2$ , are given by:

$$\frac{1}{\mu'} = \frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{\mu\omega^2\theta^2}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega'^2 = \omega^2 \left( 1 + \frac{\mu^2\omega^2\theta^2}{4} \right)$$

Now the extra Zeeman term in the Hamiltonian breaks the SU(2) symmetry to U(1) symmetry. The spectrum can easily be read off using previous exercise unphysical SHO

$$E(j, j_3) = \omega'(2j + 1) + \theta\mu'\omega'^2 j_3 \quad (32)$$

This clearly suggests that these renormalised parameters  $\omega'$  and  $\mu'$  rather than their 'bare' counterparts  $\omega$  and  $\mu$ , which are the observable quantities of the theory.

# Missed out stuff and current interest

- time-reversal symmetry breaking due to Zeeman term
- analogy with squeezed coherent state (Eur. Phys. J. Plus (2015) 130: 120)
- I'm currently involved in studying the geometry of such non-commutative spaces using the Connes spectral triple formalism.

# Thank You!